BY THE END OF YEAR 6

ILLUSTRATING THE WRITING STANDARD

The students by the end of year 5 select appropriate details to communicate information and experiences relevant to the curriculum task.

The student by the end of year 6 shows more control in organising the content, vocabulary, and sentence structures as he records and communicates ideas and experiences relevant to the curriculum task.

'Bottle Submarine'

By the end of year 6, students are required to create a variety of texts in order to think about, record, and communicate experiences, ideas, and information across the curriculum. To meet the standard, students draw on the knowledge, skills, and attitudes for writing described in the Literacy Learning Progressions for students at this level.

The students in this year 6 and 7 class are

evaluating aspects of a science and technology unit that they have completed. As they write about and discuss what their group has done during the unit, they explore the success they have had, both in developing and testing their prototypes and in working collaboratively as a group.

The following example illustrates aspects of the task and text and demonstrates how a student engages with both task and text to meet the writing demands of the curriculum. A number of such examples would be used to inform the overall teacher judgment for this student.

Transcript: 'Bottle Submarine'

In groups of 3 or 4 room 27 had approximately 3 weeks to build and design a prototype (submarine) that will explore the underwater wourld and be airtight. It will also suspend in mid-water and when we are done we will test them in a tank of water.

Each group started out with a draft with all of the group's ideas put into it. The groups then all agreed on one dicision each and decided to build that decision. Each bottle (submarine) had to have numerous details on it.

In the end My groups model submarine did not work. The planning ended up alot different to the design. The team work was not very good because people were getting distracted and some people wern't doing much work. The construction ended up haveing lots of weight on it. Most of the groups failed but it was good to learn from.

The student writes a clear and concise evaluation of his group's technology challenges. He supports the main points with some substantiating detail: the first paragraph contains information about what the students had to do with their prototypes once they were constructed, and the second paragraph includes information about the group's planning process. The student uses a range of vocabulary and phrases that are appropriate for an objective report ("approximately", "build and design", "numerous details") and uses a range of topic-specific vocabulary ("prototype", "submarine", "airtight", "suspend"), which reinforces the formal scientific register of the text. Most sentences are grammatically correct.

The groups of 3 or 4 room 24 hockers approximately 3 weeks to build and a lession a perturbation will explore the under mater would and the right.

I will suspend in mid-wester and when wellfale done we will lest them in a tank when wellfale water.

2 nd paragraph - not designe (discription)

4 th paragraph - our designe (discription)

4 th paragraph - con structing

2 nd paragraph "planning"

Such groups started out with a draft with all of the groups ideas put into it. The groups to build had decision. Each pottle had to build had decision. Each pottle had to bare numerous details on it.

3rd paragraph "our designs" below ago Most of room 27's groups have linfoil or bubble was to present it from leaking. Eden group also has details such as percercopes and plastic wings to help it float in mid-water and explore the under water world. 4 " paragraph "constructing" At the imment my groups submarine looks a bit different from the design when we studied. It has a layer of linfoil to powent it from leading. It already has a straw perrescope. 5th paragraph - Conclusion !!!! In the end My groups model submorrine de not work. The planning ended up alot different to the clasion. The team work was nothing good because people were getting distracted and some people ween't doing much work. The construction ended up haveing lots of weight on it. Nost of the

The student writes thoughtfully and reflectively about the outcomes of his group's work. He substantiates his evaluations and attempts to be constructive about outcomes that were less than positive while maintaining the formal register appropriate for a science and technology report.